



NCC Education Academic Regulations

(placeholder for the full-colour cover)

Reference Number: AQ_05_a09

Academic Regulations

Edition Date: 1 August 2016

Revision History

Version	Last revised	Next review date	Document Owner	Notes
AQ05	25 th April 2012	31 March 2013	Head of Quality Assurance	Replacing the Academic Quality Assurance Manual (AQAM)
AQ05_a01	14 th September 2012	31 March 2013	Head of Quality Assurance	Minor amendments
AQ05_a02	16 th April 2013	31 March 2014	Head of Quality Assurance	Reviewed and updated
AQ05_a03	12 th October 2013	31 March 2014	Head of Quality Assurance	Reviewed and updated
AQ05_a04	6 th December 2013	31 March 2014	Head of Quality Assurance	Updated with new house style
AQ05_a05	30 th May 2014	30 June 2015	Head of Quality Assurance	Updated definitions and added Digi Qualification Regulations Appendix
AQ05_a06	4 September 2015	30 March 2016	Head of Quality Assurance	Reviewed and Updated
AQ05_a07	4 January 2016	30 June 2016	Quality Assurance Manager	Updated
AQ05_a08	30 March 2016	30 June 2017	Quality Assurance Manager	Reviewed and updated; replaced QCF with RQF
AQ_05_a09	17 May 2016	30 June 2017	Quality Assurance Manager	Updated: removal of Approved Centre references

Contents

1. Introduction	5
1.1. Purpose of the Academic Regulations	5
1.2. The Definitive Statement.....	5
1.3. Applicability.....	5
1.4. Adherence Statement	5
1.5. Communications	6
2. List of NCC Education Qualifications.....	6
3. Regulation and Frameworks.....	7
3.1. Reference Frameworks.....	7
3.1.1. Regulated Qualifications Framework	7
3.1.2. National Qualifications Framework.....	7
3.1.3. European Qualifications Framework	7
3.2. Credits	8
3.3. Total Qualification Time	8
3.4. Level Descriptors	8
4. Centre Standards	9
4.1. Centre Regulations	9
A: Centre Management and Governance	9
B: Quality Assurance Systems	9
C: Facilities.....	9
D: Staff	10
4.2. Centre Monitoring	10
4.3. Centre Sanctions	10
5. Entry Requirements.....	10
5.1.1. Work Experience	11
5.1.2. English Language	11
5.2. Direct Entry.....	11
5.3. Exemptions.....	11
6. Candidate Conduct, Rights and Responsibilities	12
6.1. Candidate Rights	12
6.2. Candidate Responsibilities.....	12
7. Assessment Regulations.....	12
7.1. Purpose of Assessment	12
7.2. Language of Assessment.....	13
7.3. Marking and Moderation	13
7.4. Assessment criteria.....	13

7.5.	Word/time limits	13
7.6.	Submission of Assessment	13
7.7.	Statement of Confirmation of Own Work	13
7.8.	Extensions to Assessment Deadlines	13
7.9.	Reassessment	14
7.10.	Pre-assessment Special Considerations	14
7.11.	Post-Assessment Special Considerations	14
7.12.	Power to Review Results before Publication	14
7.13.	Conflicts of Interest.....	15
7.14.	Conduct of Examinations.....	15
8.	Awarding Authorities	15
8.1.	Assessment Boards	15
8.2.	Academic Board	15
8.3.	External Examiners.....	16
9.	Results and Notification.....	16
9.1.	Notification of Results and Award	16
9.2.	Revoking Results	16
9.3.	Classification.....	16
9.4.	Eligibility for Certification	17
9.5.	Permission to Proceed Carrying Fails	17
10.	Review of Results and Appeals	18
10.1.	Grounds for Review and Appeal	18
10.2.	Submission.....	18
10.3.	Investigation	19
11.	Academic Misconduct and Assessment Offences	19
11.1.	Definitions	19
11.2.	Academic Misconduct.....	20
11.3.	Investigating Academic Misconduct.....	20
11.4.	Sanctions	20
11.5.	Malpractice and Maladministration	21
11.6.	Reviews of Academic Misconduct Penalties.....	21
	Appendix A – Variations to Academic Regulations for Digi-Qualifications	22
	Glossary.....	25

1. Introduction

1.1. Purpose of the Academic Regulations

This document explains the regulations which relate to the delivery, assessment and awarding of NCC Education qualifications, constituting a framework for academic standards.

1.2. The Definitive Statement

NCC Education is recognised as an awarding body in England by the Office of Qualifications and Examinations Regulation (Ofqual), by the Welsh Government in Wales; Qualifications in Wales (QIW) and by the Council for Curriculum Examinations & Assessment (CCEA) Regulation in Northern Ireland. Ofqual is a non-ministerial department of the UK government and is accountable directly to Parliament. NCC Education is subject to, and abides by, Ofqual's General Conditions of Recognition, which are available through their website: (<https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/general-conditions-of-recognition>).

NCC Education, in accordance with these Academic Regulations, Ofqual's General Conditions of Recognition, and the direction of its Academic Board, is empowered to determine:

- the content of qualifications and programmes of study
- the assessment strategy for qualifications
- the terms and conditions under which its qualifications are awarded.

NCC Education is also empowered under these same authorities to refuse or revoke any qualification for good reason, including academic misconduct and malpractice.

1.3. Applicability

These regulations are applicable with immediate effect on the publication date, and may be changed from time to time. These regulations apply to existing and new NCC Education qualifications, including:

- English Language Framework
- International Foundation Year
- all Pre Master's and Master's Preparation programmes
- all qualifications regulated within the Regulated Qualifications Framework (RQF), including diplomas in the business and computing subject areas at levels 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7.

1.4. Adherence Statement

Centres agree to abide by these regulations as part of their contractual arrangement with NCC Education. Centres who do not abide by these regulations will be subject to sanctions, up to and including termination of contract and disaccreditation. The sanctions which may be imposed on Centres are outlined in NCC Education's [Candidate and Centre Sanctions Policy](#).

By registering on NCC Education qualifications at Centres, candidates are indicating that they agree to abide by these regulations.

Candidates who cannot abide by these regulations for whatever reason should inform their Centre before registering and commencing their studies. Candidates who do not abide by these regulations may be subject to penalties as outlined in the Candidate and Centre Sanctions Policy.

1.5. Communications

NCC Education will not normally communicate directly with candidates. Communications from NCC Education to candidates will be channelled via Centres. The only exception to this is where a Centre is non-contactable for whatever reason (including where they have ceased to operate).

Candidates are advised that any questions they have should normally be addressed to their Centre. However, NCC Education welcomes communication from candidates by the following means:

By email:	info@nccedu.com
By post:	NCC Education The Towers Towers Business Park Wilmslow Road Didsbury Manchester M20 2EZ UK
By phone:	+44 (0)161 438 6200
By fax:	+44 (0)161 438 6240
Opening hours:	8.30am-5:00pm (GMT/BST) Mon-Fri

Contact details for NCC Education regional offices are given online at <http://www.nccedu.com/about-us/contact-us>.

2. List of NCC Education Qualifications

NCC Education's main suite of qualifications consists of Ofqual regulated qualifications at levels 2, 3, 4, 5 and 7, non-regulated access qualifications, and computing qualifications at Key Stages 1-4.

NCC Education's regulated qualifications are:

- Level 2 Award in Computing (VRQ)
- Level 3 Diploma in Computing (RQF)
- Level 3 Diploma in Business (RQF)
- Level 4 Diploma in Computing (RQF)
- Level 5 Diploma in Computing (RQF)
- Level 4 Diploma in Business Information Technology (RQF)
- Level 5 Diploma in Business Information Technology (RQF)
- Level 4 Diploma in Business (RQF)
- Level 5 Diploma in Business (RQF)
- Level 7 Diploma in Business Management (RQF)
- Level 7 Diploma in Strategic Business Information Technology (RQF).

NCC Education's non-regulated access qualifications are:

- English Language Framework
- International Foundation Year
- Pre Master's in Information Technology
- Pre Master's in Business
- Master's Preparation Programme.

NCC Education's computing qualifications at Key Stages 1-4:

- Digi Explorers
- Digi Navigators
- Digi Trailblazers
- Level 2 Award in Computing (VRQ) "Digi Award"

NCC Education also offers programmes and qualifications which are validated and awarded by University partners. Such qualifications normally operate under the Academic Regulations of the awarding university.

3. Regulation and Frameworks

3.1. Reference Frameworks

3.1.1. Regulated Qualifications Framework

The Regulated Qualifications Framework (RQF) is regulated by the Office of Qualifications and Examination Regulation (Ofqual) in England. NCC Education's regulated qualifications are listed on Ofqual's Register of Regulated Qualifications (<http://register.ofqual.gov.uk>), along with their level and the learning outcomes of each unit.

3.1.2. National Qualifications Framework

The National Qualifications Framework (NQF) is regulated by the Office of Qualifications and Examination Regulation (Ofqual) in England. NCC Education's Level 2 Award in Computing (VRQ) is currently NCC Education's only qualification on this framework. Information on the level, credit rating and the learning outcomes of this qualification can be accessed at <http://register.ofqual.gov.uk>

3.1.3. European Qualifications Framework

The RQF is formally mapped to the European Qualifications Framework (EQF) as part of the Council of Europe's transferability strategy. The EQF relates different countries' national qualifications systems to a common European reference framework. Individuals and employers can use the EQF to better understand and compare the qualifications levels of different countries and different education and training systems. The mapping of the RQF to both the EQF and its Higher Education equivalent, the EHEA ("Bologna Framework"), is available from Ofqual's website at <https://www.gov.uk/what-different-qualification-levels-mean>.

3.2.

3.3. Credit

The framework for credit accumulation and transfer is intended to enable candidates' learning to be recognised and quantified; enable candidates, potential employers and others to assess the value of each unit in terms of level and amount of learning; and to facilitate the transfer of credit between different qualifications of NCC Education and those offered by other institutions.

The number of credits per qualification will vary, but a typical qualification which can be achieved in one year of full-time study will be rated at 120 credits. One credit is representative of 10 hours of study, regardless of whether that study is guided or independent.

In terms of size, NCC Education Qualification Credits are equivalent to CATS (Credit Accumulation and Transfer System) which is used by UK Higher Education Institutions. These both differ from the European standards, ECTS (European Credit Transfer System). Two RQF or CATS credits are equivalent to one ECTS credit.

3.4. Total Qualification Time

Total Qualification Time (TQT) is comprised of two elements;

- (a) Guided Learning Hours (GLH) which NCC Education specifies the number of recommended hours for the qualification published within the Qualification Specification and/or Student Handbook
- (b) An estimate of the number of hours a Learner will reasonably spend in preparation, study or any other form of participation in education or training, including assessment which takes place as directed but not under direct Guidance or Supervision

For RQF qualifications, these are also listed on Ofqual's Register of Regulated Qualifications (<http://register.ofqual.gov.uk>). Please note that for the purpose of the Register, we are required to state GLH recommendations for a 'typical' learner in England; for many overseas centres, these figures will be adapted as required.

3.5. Level Descriptors

The levels of NCC Education qualifications are based on those of the Regulated Qualifications Framework (RQF) in England. These are shown in the tables below, along with common qualification titles and a comparison to the Framework for Higher Education Qualifications (FHEQ) within which UK universities operate.

Regulated Qualification Framework (RQF)		Framework for Higher Education Qualifications (FHEQ)	
2	GCSE grades A*-C	~	~
3	A-Level, Foundation Year	3	Access to HE Diploma
4	Diploma	4	Honours degree Year 1, CertHE
5	Advanced/Higher Diploma	5	Honours degree Year 2, DipHE
6	Graduate Diploma	6	Honours degree Year 3
7	Postgraduate Diploma	7	PgCert, PgDip, Master's degree
		8	Doctorate

Level descriptors are given in the Ofqual document “Qualification and Component Levels”, available online through www.ofqual.gov.uk

4. Centre Standards

4.1. Centre Regulations

When a Centre is accredited by NCC Education, this is a confirmation that the required standards have been met. NCC Education requires accredited Centres to maintain those standards, and to adopt an approach of continual improvement to quality matters.

Every NCC Education Centre is expected to follow the regulations in this section at all times to ensure the integrity and security of qualifications is maintained and not brought into disrepute. This is a condition of approval.

A: Centre Management and Governance

A1: Centre is solvent and financially sound.

A2: Centre is legally operating and holds necessary government licences.

A3: Centre maintains high ethical standards with respect to equal opportunities and eliminating discrimination.

B: Quality Assurance Systems

B1: Centre has a Malpractice and Maladministration Policy in place to minimise the risk of malpractice occurring. There is a clear process in place to investigate and deal with suspected malpractice in an open, fair and effective manner.

B2: Centre has procedures in place to ensure the secure and fair delivery of assessments.

B3: Centre has policies and procedures in place to support candidates requesting special considerations in their assessments

B4: Centre has procedures in place for candidates to formally complain, and to enquire, question or appeal assessment decisions.

C: Facilities

C1: Centre complies with local health and safety legislation.

C2: Centre has appropriate facilities and procedures in place to ensure the secure and fair delivery of assessments¹

C3: Centre has facilities appropriate to the mode of teaching/delivery of the qualification

¹ Facilities requirements for Accredited Centres and specific qualifications are published on NCC Education’s website.

D: Staff

D1: NCC Education is always notified of the current Head of Centre (who has operational control and accountability). This person must be appropriately qualified.

D2: NCC Education is always notified of the current Centre Co-ordinator (the person responsible for the day-to-day running of NCC Education qualifications). This person must be appropriately qualified.

D3: Centre has enough qualified and competent staff to deliver and assess the qualification

D4: Centre has a way of collecting feedback from candidates to help identify where staff development is needed.

4.2. Centre Monitoring

NCC Education carries out an annual monitoring review for all Centres to ensure that standards are being maintained and enhanced. Centre Monitoring includes three stages: firstly, centres will be sent a statistical report on their candidates' performance during the previous year; secondly, centres will be required to return a short self-evaluation statement; and finally, the Academic Development Manager will complete an evaluation form which will take into account the information in sections one and two, as well as any monitoring visits or other interactions from the past 12 months.

NCC Education also carries out risk-based Centre monitoring visits where we feel this is necessary to have confidence in the operation of our Centres. NCC Education reserves the right to conduct visits at short notice or with no prior notice.

For more information, please consult [NCC Education's Centre Monitoring Policy](#).

4.3. Centre Sanctions

NCC Education reserves the right to apply sanctions to, and in extreme cases disaccredit, Centres which fail to maintain standards and do not develop a credible action plan for improvement, as well as Centres which breach any part of these regulations. For further information, please refer to the [NCC Education's Candidate and Centre Sanctions Policy](#).

5. Entry Requirements

The overriding principle of entry to each qualification will be the expectation that the potential candidate will be able to derive benefit from study, to fulfil the objectives of the qualification and, through NCC Education assessment, demonstrate achievement to the standard required for the award.

All NCC Education candidates should satisfy the entry requirements as defined in the relevant Qualification Specification. This may be audited by NCC Education during the Centre Monitoring process.

5.1.1. Work Experience

Certain NCC Education qualifications accept work experience for admission within certain criteria. Details of the acceptability and criteria for admission by work experience can be found in the relevant Qualification Specification or Student Handbook.

5.1.2. English Language

All NCC Education qualifications are taught and assessed in English. This means that all candidates who are not native English speakers will be expected to demonstrate that they can satisfy the level of competence required at their level of study. Candidates may demonstrate this either through an appropriate recognised certification (e.g. IELTS) or by taking the NCC Education English Placement Test (where this is offered by the Centre).

For guidance, the typical levels of English Language ability required are:

- IELTS 4.5 for preparatory or bridging programmes which include the teaching of English as a Foreign Language²;
- IELTS 5.5 or equivalent for Level 4 entry;
- IELTS 6.5 or equivalent for Level 7 entry.

However, there may be different English Language requirements applied on some qualifications, and the definitive standard is set out in the relevant Qualification Specification.

5.2. Direct Entry

NCC Education may, at its discretion, grant admission to a qualification on the grounds of qualifications and/or programmes of study which are not normally recognised as the academic entry requirements for that qualification. This is known as Direct Entry.

Requests for Direct Entry must be presented on the correct form (available on NCC Education's website) with appropriate supporting evidence.

Direct Entry will not normally be granted by NCC Education for experiential learning (in industry, for example), though this may be considered as context to formal learning experiences which might otherwise not be approved.

5.3. Exemptions

NCC Education may, at its discretion, grant exemption from units in instances where a candidate has completed a period of study elsewhere that has been approved.

Requests for exemption must be submitted at the time of first registration on the qualification, and must be presented on the correct form (available on NCC Education's website) with appropriate supporting evidence. Requests for exemptions will not normally be accepted after a candidate has already been assessed for one or more unit(s) of a qualification.

² At the time of publication, this is limited to NCC Education's International Foundation Year (IFY); and Pre-Master's qualifications

Requests for exemption from a unit which a candidate has already attempted and failed will always be refused.

Exemptions are only available for a maximum of 50% of the credits associated with any given qualification.

6. Candidate Conduct, Rights and Responsibilities

6.1. Candidate Rights

Candidates have a right to:

- be informed about the basis for awarding their qualification
- be informed about the assessment methods and assessment criteria for the individual units they are taking
- be assessed and, where appropriate, be re-assessed in accordance with these Academic Regulations
- request a review of their results if there is evidence of any irregularity in the conduct of the assessment process, or if a candidate's performance has been affected by personal circumstances which, for valid reasons, could not be notified to the Assessment Board before the Board confirmed qualification and/or unit results
- submit any claim for mitigation in accordance with these Academic Regulations
- make a complaint about any aspect of NCC Education's products and services, and have that complaint receive appropriate consideration.

6.2. Candidate Responsibilities

Candidates have the following responsibilities:

- to attend the prescribed examinations and to submit work for assessment in accordance with the Academic Regulations, without committing academic misconduct or otherwise seeking to gain unfair advantage.
- to report to their Centre, if appropriate, and/or to NCC Education, any instance of malpractice or maladministration which they might be aware of, which might invalidate NCC Education qualifications.

7. Assessment Regulations

7.1. Purpose of Assessment

All NCC Education qualifications and their associated programmes of study are subject to these regulations. Assessment is used to confirm the achievement of the individual candidate in fulfilling the learning outcomes, and to relate that achievement to a national and international standard of awards.

Each qualification will, within the Qualification Specification, state the method and types of assessment to be used. NCC Education reserves the right to change assessment methodology with reasonable notice where there is a sound basis of evidence to do so, and where such a change would not unreasonably disadvantage existing candidates.

Attending examinations and submitting assignments on time is the responsibility of each candidate.

Details of submission deadlines and the timing of assessments are published by NCC Education in the annual Activity Schedules, made available to all Centres.

7.2. Language of Assessment

All NCC Education assessments are conducted in English.

7.3. Marking and Moderation

Procedures for the marking and moderation of all articles of assessment are outlined in the Assessment Instructions, which are provided to Centres on approval or accreditation and updated annually.

7.4. Assessment criteria

Assessment criteria are used in NCC Education qualifications to describe what a candidate is expected to do in order to demonstrate that a learning outcome has been met. Assessment criteria are stated in Unit Specifications (in the relevant Qualification Specification or Student Handbook), and in the Student Guide and Tutor Guide for each unit. These are used by Setters when developing assessments as the foundation of the questions and tasks set.

7.5. Word/time limits

Information on word limits and time limits for assessments is contained within the rubric for that assessment, which is printed on the front page of the assessment itself.

7.6. Submission of Assessment

Completed assessments are normally submitted to the Centre, who will in turn submit them to NCC Education. Any exceptions to this will be recorded in the relevant Qualification Specification.

7.7. Statement of Confirmation of Own Work

All candidates must complete and submit a signed Statement of Confirmation of Own Work alongside all submitted assignments. An assignment which is submitted without a Statement of Confirmation of Own Work may be invalidated and awarded a mark of zero.

7.8. Extensions to Assessment Deadlines

NCC Education offers a number of assessment cycles per year for each qualification (see Qualification Specification for details). Where a candidate cannot complete an assessment component for good reason, it is expected that he or she will normally defer until the next cycle. However, submission deadlines for Centre-marked assignments are determined by the Centre and flexibility for candidates with extenuating circumstances may be offered at their discretion. Details can be found in the Special Considerations Policy (see sections 7.10 and 7.11).

7.9. Reassessment

A candidate will not be permitted to repeat any unit which they have passed previously in order to improve the mark for a unit.

A candidate who has failed a unit will be permitted to be reassessed on payment of a fee provided the reassessment can be completed within the eligibility period. Details of fees are available through the NCC Education website or from Centres. If the nature of the work which is to be reassessed requires the candidate to study the unit again, or to use facilities of the Centre in order to undertake the reassessment, then the candidate may be required to pay an additional fee to the Centre.

A candidate may not be offered reassessment in units which are no longer current in the qualification. NCC Education will make such special arrangements as it deems appropriate in such cases, normally involving an attempt at a replacement unit.

7.10. Pre-assessment Special Considerations

Where candidates require additional support during the examinations on medical or disability grounds, NCC Education will, where possible, approve special considerations to the assessment strategy. These adjustments are intended to allow attainment to be demonstrated; for example the production of a modified paper for a candidate with a visual impairment, whose need has previously been established.

Full details of the scope of pre-assessment special considerations and how to request them from NCC Education can be found in the Special Considerations policy on NCC Education's corporate website, or upon request from Customer Services.

7.11. Post-Assessment Special Considerations

Post-assessment special considerations, which may be given following an examination or assessment, are intended to ensure that a candidate with a temporary illness, injury or indisposition at the time the assessment is conducted is given some recognition of the difficulty he/she has faced. These are also known as extenuating circumstances.

Full details of the scope of post-assessment special considerations and how to request them from NCC Education can be found in the Special Considerations policy on NCC Education's corporate website, or upon request from Customer Services.

7.12. Power to Review Results before Publication

Where a candidate has attempted all units on a given qualification and failed only one, there are certain circumstances under which the Assessment Board is permitted to review the assessments associated with that unit and award a Pass grade. These circumstances are:

- a) the unit must not carry a credit value of more than 20% of the total credits required for the award
- b) at least 50% of the other credits required for the award must have been passed at the first attempt
- c) the unit mark must be within 3% of the normal unit pass mark
- d) every assessment component contributing to the unit mark must be within 5% of the normal unit pass mark.

The Assessment Board may not compensate a unit solely on the basis of performance in other units. Rather, the Assessment Board may re-examine the assessment(s) which determined that unit mark, to establish whether the learning outcomes have in fact been achieved.

7.13. Conflicts of Interest

A conflict of interest (COI) is defined as a situation where a person has responsibility or makes a decision, and where that person or a person with a close relationship to them might benefit unfairly from that position of responsibility or from that decision.

All employees of NCC Education, examiners and moderators, everyone attending NCC Education's Assessment and Academic Boards, and all teaching and management staff employed by Centres are required to abide by the guidelines outlined in NCC Education's [Conflict of Interest Policy](#).

7.14. Conduct of Examinations

Guidance on the conduct of examinations is available through NCC Education's corporate website. Candidates will be informed of their expected conduct during examinations by the Centre, in line with NCC Education's Instructions for Conducting Examinations. Candidates who break the rules of conduct during examinations will be given a mark of zero.

8. Awarding Authorities

8.1. Assessment Boards

An Assessment Board is convened under the authority of the Director of Qualifications and Academic Delivery for each qualification and each cycle. The Assessment Board consists of senior academic staff involved in the development and delivery of the qualification. Every Assessment Board is also attended by an external examiner, normally an academic from a UK University with appropriate subject specialism.

The Assessment Board reviews statistical data on candidate performance and where appropriate approves adjustments (scaling) to results where any circumstances are held to have had an adverse effect on candidates. The Board also considers claims for special considerations and alleged cases of academic misconduct. The proceedings of Assessment Boards are strictly confidential.

The Assessment Board has the authority, devolved from the Academic Board, to approve results for publication. The dates on which results are released to Centres are published in the Activity Schedule for each qualification.

8.2. Academic Board

All of NCC Education's academic and quality assurance functions are monitored by the Academic Board. The Academic Board includes external members such as senior academics from UK universities, who together ensure global consistency across the systems and standards for examinations, marking, moderation and issuing of certificates.

8.3. External Examiners

External examiners for each qualification are appointed by NCC Education, and in accordance with specified requirements. External examiners will normally be experienced academics from UK Further and Higher Education Institutions, although exceptional candidates with experience drawn from industry may be considered. External examiners quality assure assessments and provide a written report after each Assessment Board highlighting any concerns or suggestions for improvement; these reports are evaluated by NCC Education.

The Director of Quality and Academic Delivery is empowered to suspend or remove an external examiner for negligence or misconduct, and to appoint any appropriately qualified person to fill a vacancy among the external examiners.

9. Results and Notification

9.1. Notification of Results and Award

Following the confirmation of results by the Assessment Board, a Statement of Results for each candidate will be issued to Centres, containing:

- the registered name of the candidate;
- the name of the candidate's Centre³;
- the name of all units successfully completed;
- a result for each unit.

The Director of Qualifications and Academic Delivery has the power to direct that the assessment result for any candidate who has not paid (or whose Centre has not paid) in full all fees due to NCC Education shall not be published until all such debts have been paid in full. Results may also be withheld if there are reasonable doubts about the authentication of a candidate's work, and/or where there is reasonable suspicion of malpractice, which in either case warrants further investigation before a result can be issued.

9.2. Revoking Results

Where issues (such as malpractice) come to light after the issuing of a Statement of Results, NCC Education reserves the right to revoke results and issue an amended Statement of Results.

NCC Education also reserves the right to revoke qualifications and certificates in such circumstances (see Section 1.2, above).

9.3. Classification

NCC Education unit results are graded in the following manner:

³ Except in a small number of countries where there is a local regulatory or legal requirement meaning that the name of the Centre is not included on the certificate.

Grade	Mark	Descriptor
Distinction	70+	The candidate has demonstrated significantly higher than average performance in all learning outcomes for the unit
Merit	60-69	The candidate has demonstrated above average performance in all learning outcomes for the unit
Pass	40-59	The candidate has met all learning outcomes for the unit
Fail	39 and below	The candidate has not met all learning outcomes for the unit

NCC Education qualifications are not graded or classified.

9.4. Eligibility for Certification

A candidate will be deemed eligible for an award only when they have successfully achieved all the learning outcomes and have demonstrated this through successful completion of assessment. The accumulated units to achieve the award must be in the required combination, which may include both core and elective units as outlined in the Qualification Specification or Student Handbook, or through a recognised exemption or other Recognised Prior Learning (RPL) agreed with NCC Education. This must be completed within the eligibility period for the qualification.

9.5. Permission to Proceed Carrying Fails

Where candidates are following pathways leading to multiple levels of NCC Education qualifications over time, there is an expectation that the candidate should complete one qualification in full, in order to meet the entry requirements of the qualification at the next level up. Therefore a Level 4 Diploma would normally be fully completed and awarded before registering on a Level 5 Diploma. However, under certain circumstances candidates may (at the Centre's discretion) be permitted to proceed to the next level of NCC Education qualification whilst awaiting reassessment at the level below. This is known as 'carrying fails'.

A candidate may be permitted to carry a failed unit or units totalling no more than 30 credits only if they meet all of the following conditions:

- a) the candidate has already completed 75% of the credits required for the lower level qualification
- b) the candidate passed 50% of the lower level qualification at the first attempt
- c) the candidate is progressing from Level 3 to 4, or from Level 4 to 5.
- d) both the qualification with the carried fail and the qualification at the next level are awarded by NCC Education.

Candidates should understand that if they complete a qualification whilst carrying a failed unit at the level below, they will not receive the lower level qualification until the failed unit is successfully passed. This may prevent the candidate from proceeding onto University 'top-

up' degree programmes, if a qualification is awarded at Level 5 but fails are still carried from Level 4.

10. Review of Results and Appeals

The purpose of this regulation is to safeguard the interests of all candidates. It may be used only when there are adequate grounds for doing so (as specified in section 10.1 below) and may not be used simply because a candidate is dissatisfied with the outcome of his or her assessment or other decision concerning their academic position or progress.

10.1. Grounds for Review and Appeal

A candidate may submit a request for a review of a decision of an Assessment Board, provided that any such request does not question the academic or professional judgment of the examiners on the candidate's performance.

A Review of Results or Appeal may be requested only on grounds alleging:

- a) that there exists, or existed, circumstances affecting the candidate's performance of which, for good reason, the Assessment Board may not have been made aware when the decision was taken and which might have had a material effect on the decision [Note: if candidates wish to appeal on such grounds, they must give adequate reasons why this information was not made available prior to the decision being made.];
- b) that there had been a material administrative error or procedural irregularity in the assessment process or in putting into effect the regulations for the qualification such as to cause suspicion that the decision might have been different if the error or irregularity had not occurred;
- c) that there is evidence of prejudice or bias on the part of one or more of the examiners.

A Review or Appeal which questions the academic or professional judgement of those charged with the responsibility for assessing a candidate's academic performance or professional competence shall not be permitted.

Before initiating Review of Results, a candidate is strongly advised to discuss the matter with his or her personal tutor, supervisor, programme director or other appropriate person in the Centre.

10.2. Submission

Full details of the process for submitting a request for a Review of Results or Appeal can be found in the [Post-Results Service Policy](#) available on NCC Education's website. Appeals may only be submitted after completion of a Review of Results. A Cohort Moderation Review may be requested where a Centre has concerns over the marking and/or moderation of an entire cohort. All Reviews of Results, Appeals and Cohort Moderation Reviews are subject to a fee, fully refundable in the event that the outcome is successful. The fees associated with this service are given in the policy document.

10.3. Investigation

Where appropriate grounds for a Review of Results have been met, the request will be investigated by the designated Appeals Officer, and where appropriate ruled on by the Director of Qualifications and Academic Delivery or designated senior academic.

All Appeals lead to the convening of an Appeals Panel, of which one member is an independent member.

11. Academic Misconduct and Assessment Offences

11.1. Definitions

- a) **Assessment** includes any submission made by a candidate for which marks contributing to a qualification are awarded. This includes oral, electronic, physical and written material, including examinations.
- b) **Collusion** is the preparation or production of work for assessment jointly with another person or persons (except where group work is explicitly permitted by the specification and/or assessments guidance). An act of collusion is understood to encompass those who actively assist others as well as those who derive benefit from others. Where joint preparation is permitted but joint production is not, the submitted work must be produced solely by the candidate making the submission. Where joint production or joint preparation and production of work for assessment is specifically permitted, this is published in the appropriate course documentation.
- c) **Plagiarism** is the use, without acknowledgement, of the intellectual work of other people, and the act of representing the ideas or discoveries of another as one's own in written work submitted for assessment. To copy sentences, phrases or even striking expressions without acknowledgement of the source (either by inadequate citation or failure to indicate verbatim quotations), is plagiarism. To paraphrase without acknowledgement is likewise plagiarism. Where such copying or paraphrasing has occurred, the mere mention of the source in the bibliography shall not be deemed sufficient acknowledgement; each such instance must be referred specifically to its source. Verbatim quotations must be either in inverted commas, or indented, and directly acknowledged.
- d) **Impersonation** is where someone other than the candidate prepares the work submitted for assessment. This includes purchasing essays from third parties, commissioning someone else to write an assessment or asking someone else to sit an examination. Candidates who attend an examination without their student ID-card or other acceptable form of photo-ID will not have their script marked until their identity has been confirmed. NCC Education takes impersonation extremely seriously and any suspicion of impersonation will result in an investigation of potential misconduct.
- e) **Misconduct in examinations** includes having access, or attempting to gain access, to any books, memoranda, notes, unauthorised calculators, or any other material, except such as may have been supplied by the invigilator or authorised in the rubric on the front of the examination paper. It also includes aiding or attempting to aid another candidate, or obtaining or attempting to obtain aid from another candidate, or any other communication within the Examination Room.

- f) **Fabrication of results or observations** in assignments or project work is the synthesis of data gained from practical activities carried out by the candidate, or the use of artificial observations to support a hypothesis/conclusion, including the forgery of computer screen shots where these are required to demonstrate that a practical activity has taken place.

All instances of plagiarism, collusion, impersonation, fabrication of results, or misconduct in an exam are serious failures to respect the integrity and fairness of the assessment process.

11.2. Academic Misconduct

All work submitted by a candidate for assessment must be the candidate's own work. It is an offence for any candidate to be guilty of, or party to, collusion, plagiarism, or any other act which may mislead the examiners about the development and authorship of work presented in assessments, including misleading examiners about the sources of information included in an assessment.

All work must fully acknowledge, in an approved format, all sources of information used in preparing the work being submitted. This includes acknowledging all written and electronic sources. Where work is produced under examination room conditions it will be sufficient to acknowledge the source without providing a full reference.

Candidates must not take notes or other means of accessing information into an examination room unless the rules explicitly state that this is allowed. Unless explicitly allowed in the course documentation or specified in the mode of assessment, candidates must work alone in preparing their assessment. The development of academic skills is an important part of learning.

For further information and guidance on what constitutes Academic Misconduct and how it is investigated and penalised, please consult the [Academic Misconduct Policy](#) on NCC Education's website.

11.3. Investigating Academic Misconduct

NCC Education takes any suggestion of academic misconduct seriously, and is committed to investigating any work where reasonable suspicion of misconduct has been identified. This is essential so that we are assured that any misconduct penalties are fair, proportionate and supported by evidence. It is usual for such investigations to be completed before the Assessment Board, regardless of the outcome, however in exceptional and complex cases investigations may cause results to be delayed.

11.4. Sanctions

Where academic misconduct is proven, candidates who have committed it or helped someone else to do so will be penalised. Details on Sanctions which NCC Education may impose on candidates, Centres and Centre Staff are included in the Candidate and Centre Sanctions Policy, available on NCC Education's website.

11.5. Malpractice and Maladministration

Malpractice is any deliberate activity, neglect, default or other practice that compromises the integrity of the assessment process and/or the validity of certificates.

Malpractice can include criminal offences such as bribery or falsifying of assessment records (fraud). Other examples would include failing to maintain the security of an assessment (e.g. by giving away exam questions or marking schemes), assisting candidates in the production of projects and assignments so that the submission is not the candidate's own work, and redacting or changing examination questions or assignment tasks, thus compromising the integrity of the assessment.

Maladministration is any activity, neglect, default or other practice that results in the centre or candidate not complying with the specified requirements for delivery of the qualifications.

Examples of maladministration include the contravention of examination regulations, failure to correctly follow other assessment-related processes (including the timely submission of correct samples and MSFs), and failure to abide by the deadlines published in NCC Education's Activity Schedules. Failure to engage with our Centre Monitoring activities is also a form of maladministration which may lead to sanctions.

For information on the reporting and investigation of malpractice and maladministration, please see NCC Education's [Malpractice and Maladministration Policy](#).

11.6. Reviews of Academic Misconduct Penalties

Candidates who have had penalties applied to their marks and/or whose certificates have been invalidated as a result of a malpractice decision and/or academic misconduct penalty have the normal right to request a Review of Results, as outlined in NCC Education's Review of Results and Appeals Process.

Any such request will operate according to the procedures for all Reviews of Results and Appeals, and is subject to payment of a fee which is refundable if the Review is successful.

Appendix A – Variations to Academic Regulations for Digi-Qualifications

These Academic Regulations apply to all NCC Education qualifications. However there are a number of variations to these regulations that apply **only** to the suite of Digi qualifications. These are outlined below. Variations apply to the following sections of the Academic Regulations:

1. List of NCC Education Qualifications
2. Regulations and Frameworks
 - Levels
 - Guided Learning Hours
 - Level Descriptors
3. Entry Requirements
4. Awarding Authorities
5. Results and Notification
 - Notification of Results and Award
 - Revoking Results
 - Classification

1. List of NCC Education Qualifications

NCC Education’s suite of Digi Qualifications consists of qualifications at four levels:

- Digi Explorers
- Digi Navigators
- Digi Trailblazers
- NCC Education Level 2 Award in Computing (VRQ) (also known as the Digi Award)

2. Regulation and Frameworks

NCC Education’s suite of Digi Qualifications are aimed at, but not exclusive to, primary and lower secondary students, encompassing English Key Stages 1-4. The qualifications can be mapped as follows:

Digi-Explorers	Key Stage 1	5-7 years
Digi-Navigators	Key Stage 2	7-11 years
Digi-Trailblazers	Key Stage 3	11-13 years
Level 2 Award in Computing (VRQ) “Digi Award”	Key Stage 4	14-16 years

Guided Learning Hours

NCC Education specifies recommended Guided Learning Hours (GLH) within the Digi-Qualification syllabus and specification as follows:

Digi-Explorers	35 hours
Digi-Navigators	35 hours
Digi-Trailblazers	35 hours
Level 2 Award in Computing (VRQ) “Digi Award”	35 hours

Level Descriptors

Each Digi-Qualification syllabus and specification references the English National Curriculum learning descriptors for Computing (at the relevant Key Stage level) and all syllabus learning outcomes are linked to these curriculum descriptors.

3. Entry Requirements

Information regarding entry requirements for NCC Education's suite of Digi-Qualifications can be found in the Qualification Syllabus and Specification. There are no prerequisite qualification requirements for entry to any Digi-Qualification.

4. Awarding Authorities

Ratification of Marks

NCC Education provides oversight of all marking and moderation for the Digi suite of qualifications. Statistical data on candidate performance is reviewed and claims for special considerations and alleged cases of academic misconduct are also considered. Final marks are ratified by NCC Education's Product Manager with verification by NCC Education's Quality Assurance Manager and Director of Qualifications and Academic Delivery.

Academic Board

All of NCC Education's academic and quality assurance functions are monitored by the Academic Board. The Academic Board includes external members such as senior academics from UK universities, who together ensure global consistency across the systems and standards for examinations, marking, moderation and issuing of certificates.

5. Results and Notification

Notification of Results and Award

Following the approval of results for publication, a Statement of Results for each candidate will be issued to Centres, containing:

- the registered name of the candidate;
- the name of the candidate's Centre;
- the candidate's numerical score

For candidates who have passed a certificate will then be issued to Centres.

The Director of Qualifications and Academic Delivery has the power to direct that the assessment result for any candidate who has not paid (or whose Centre has not paid) in full all fees due to NCC Education shall not be published until all such debts have been paid in full. Results may also be withheld if there are reasonable doubts about the authentication of a candidate's work, and/or where there is reasonable suspicion of malpractice, which in either case warrants further investigation before a result can be issued.

Revoking Results

Where issues (such as malpractice) come to light after the issuing of a Statement of Results, NCC Education reserves the right to revoke results and issue an amended Statement of Results. NCC Education also reserves the right to revoke qualifications and certificates in such circumstances (see Section 1.2, above).

Classification

NCC Education's suite of Digi-Qualifications are graded as follows:

Mark (%)	Grade
0% - 49%	Fail
50% - 100%	Pass

Glossary

Term	Definition
Absent	If a candidate does not attend a timetabled Global or Local examination they will be recorded as absent and a mark of zero will be reported for that examination. Also applies when a candidate fails to submit an Assignment by the stated deadline
Accredited Partner Centre	A centre that has been accredited to use Programme teaching and learning resources for given qualification(s) together with marketing materials
Amendment	When an enrolment for an assessment session is changed, added to or withdrawn from a candidate's enrolment record
Articulation	An agreement in which completion of all or part of an NCC Education qualification is recognised by a UK University as a valid entry qualification
Assessment Consultant	A person contracted by NCC Education to work on short and medium term projects relating to the development, writing and quality assurance of assessments e.g. creating sample assessment banks, reviewing past papers
Assessment Cycle	The defined window in which assessments are available to candidates
Assessment	Global Assignment, Local Examination, Global Examination, Group Assignment and associated Marking Scheme
Assignment	Usually a project or extended study undertaken in a component for certain qualifications. An Assignment must be submitted by a set closing date and be authenticated. It is usually marked by the centre, but where a specification requires it, NCC Education would mark it
Authentication	The process under which evidence generated by a candidate in an assessment is confirmed as having been generated by that candidate and as being generated under the required conditions
Authenticator	A person confirming an Assignment is a candidate's own work
Award	A qualification in the RQF with 1 to 12 credits or Total Qualification Time (TQT) of 10 to 120 hours
Awarding Body	The organisation that produces the specification, sets the assessments, marks candidates assessments, awards certificates and maintains standards
Candidate	A student entered for an assessment, may also have access to an NCC Education programme of teaching and learning resources for a qualification
Candidate Fee	The charge for each candidate registration
Candidate Summary	A report in Connect, available by candidate, for a given Programme which provides individual unit and credit status together with summary totals

Centre	An organisation accredited by NCC Education to undertake the delivery of assessment; Centres are typically educational institutions, training providers, or employers.
Centre Co-ordinator	A member of staff at an Accredited Partner Centre responsible for the day to day running of NCC Education qualifications and administering assessments
Certificate	1) The official document produced when a qualification award is achieved by earning the minimum pass grade. 2) A qualification in the RQF with 13 to 36 credits or a Total Qualification Time (TQT) of 130 to 360 hours
Certification	The process of awarding a qualification for a specification
Comparability	Generating assessment outcomes that are comparable in standards between assessments within a qualification, between similar qualifications, with other awarding organisations, and over time. Where an assessment has equivalent forms then it is important to ensure comparability of outcomes
Component	The constituent assessment(s) making up a unit. Some units will have one component (e.g. an Assignment) others will have multiple components (e.g. a Global Examination and an Assignment)
Credit	The credit value of a unit or qualification gives an indication of how long it will normally take a candidate to prepare for the unit or qualification
Diploma	A qualification in the RQF with at least 37 credits or Total Qualification Time (TQT) of 370 hours
Enrolment	The official notification, via an NCC Education Accredited Partner Centre of the cycles in which a candidate wants to be assessed
Examiner	A person contracted by NCC Education to mark a candidate's work
Examination	An NCC Education-set assessment consisting of a question paper/answer book taken by a candidate at a specified date in an assessment cycle
External Examiner	A person contracted by NCC Education to confirm the quality and fitness for purpose of an assessment together with the related examining and moderating
Fail	The classification for a candidate who does not achieve the minimum requirements for a qualification unit
Global Assignment	An Assignment set by NCC Education and marked by a centre tutor (with the exception of Level 7 Global Assignments which are marked by NCC Education staff)
Global Examination	An examination set and marked by an NCC Education contracted examiner
Grade	A candidate's level of achievement for each unit within a qualification attempted. There are three passing grades; Pass, Merit and Distinction
Head of Centre	A person at a centre who has operational control and accountability
Local Examination	An examination set by NCC Education and marked by a centre tutor

LRS	Learning Records Service; a shared service for education stakeholders delivered by the Skills Funding Agency, jointly sponsored by DfE and BIS in England, Wales and NI only
Maladministration	Any activity, neglect, default or other practice that results in the centre or candidate not complying with the specified requirements for delivery of the qualifications
Malpractice	Any deliberate activity, neglect, default or other practice that compromises the integrity of the assessment process and/or the validity of certificates
Moderator	A person contracted by NCC Education to ensure that either all centre marking (Local Examinations and Assignments) or all NCC Education examiner marking is to the same standard
Module	A set of teaching and learning resources for a qualification unit, available within a Programme
Ofqual	Ofqual, the UK Government's Office of Qualifications and Examinations Regulation, is the regulator of qualifications, examinations and assessments in England and vocational qualifications in Northern Ireland
PLR	Personal Learning Record; a record of general and vocational unit and qualification achievement for a learner registered with the LRS in England, Wales and NI only
Product	A qualification, Programme or resource
Programme	A teaching and learning resource available to an Accredited Partner Centre
Qualification	A product that: Gives a reliable indication of an individual's knowledge, skills and understanding; Is awarded only to a candidate who has demonstrated a specified level of attainment across all components
Registration	A person or persons registered by an Accredited Partner Centre for a specific qualification. Payment of candidate fees is triggered by registration
Reliability	Reliability is about consistency and so concerns the extent to which the various stages in the assessment process generate outcomes which would be replicated were the assessment repeated. Reliability is a necessary condition of Validity, as it is not possible to demonstrate the Validity of an assessment process which is not Reliable. The reliability of an assessment is affected by a range of factors such as the sampling of assessment tasks and inconsistency in marking by examiners and moderators
Resit	A second or third candidate enrolment for a given assessment. A resit fee is triggered on enrolment
Review of Results	A post-results service providing clerical checks, marking/moderation reviews
Reviser	A person contracted by NCC Education to check and feedback on an assessment and mark scheme written by a setter
RQF	The Regulated Qualifications Framework, Ofqual regulate qualifications that use the rules of the RQF

Scaling	A recommended adjustment to candidate marks for a unit or module
Script	A question paper/answer book completed in an examination
Setter	A person contracted by NCC Education to write an assessment and mark scheme
Standardisation	A process by which we ensure the marking scheme is applied consistently by centre based markers, NCC Education examiners and moderators
Special Consideration	A Special Consideration is a pre or post assessment adjustment applied to a candidate's mark and is requested by a centre administrator. A pre assessment adjustment is for a candidate with a particular requirement to ensure the candidate is not disadvantaged in assessments e.g. a student with impaired sight can have a question paper in enlarged font or a reader. Guidance is provided to centres on the permissible pre assessment special considerations NCC Education will provide/approve A post assessment special consideration is an adjustment to the mark of a candidate who was temporarily ill, injured or indisposed at the time of assessment
Specification	Formally a syllabus, this is the document describing the subject content, the assessment module/units and their weighting, grade descriptors and learning outcomes. Available to Accredited Partner Centres
Statement of Results	A statement of results provided on the published results release date
Student	A person with access to an NCC Education qualification and if studying at an Accredited Partner Centre, an NCC Education Programme of teaching and learning resources for a qualification, may also be a candidate entered for an assessment
Syllabus	See Specification
Teacher (Top-Up programmes only)	Teaching staff on NCC Education Top Up Programmes covering module leader, course leader and project module leader
Top-Up	NCC Education, in collaboration with a number of university partners in the UK, provides progression routes to Business and IT Bachelors (Honours) and Master's Degrees which interconnect with our suite of Level 5 qualifications through a 'Top-Up' 3rd year delivered either online or as a franchised face-to-face taught programme
Transcript	A breakdown by candidate of all unit grades associated with a given qualification and issued with the Certificate
Tutor	A person preparing a candidate for an assessment in an Accredited Partner Centre using Programme teaching and learning resources
Unique Candidate Number	A unique numeric code allocated to a candidate for administering enrolment and results and certification
Unique Learner Number (ULN)	A unique number generated by a centre in the LRS for an individual candidate aged 14+ taking assessment within England, Wales and NI only

Unit	The part of qualification that can be separately certified. A unit can comprise separately assessed components. Units build up to qualifications
Validity	The extent to which evidence and theory support the interpretation that the assessment outcomes meet their intended uses. The evaluation of validity involves the development of a clear argument to support the proposed interpretation of the outcomes and as a consequence the intended uses of the assessment. The validity argument should be built on statements of the proposed interpretation and supporting evidence collected from all stages of the assessment process
Withdrawal	The cancelation by the centre of a candidate enrolment for an assessment and/or for a qualification/programme