



Unit: Professional Issues in IT

Assignment:

Using a global IT organisation to examine all of the professional issues they will encounter

20 Credit Version

Sample Marking Scheme

Markers are advised that, unless a task specifies that an answer be provided in a particular form, then an answer that is correct (factually or in practical terms) **must** be given the available marks. If there is doubt as to the correctness of an answer, the relevant NCC Education materials should be the first authority.

This marking scheme has been prepared as a **guide only** to markers and there will frequently be many alternative responses which will provide a valid answer.

Each candidate's script must be fully annotated with the marker's comments (where applicable) and the marks allocated for each part of the tasks.

Throughout the marking, please credit any valid alternative point.

Where markers award half marks in any part of a task, they should ensure that the total mark recorded for the task is rounded up to a whole mark.

Marker's comments:		
Moderator's comments:		
Mark:	Moderated mark:	Final mark:

Task	Guide			Maximum
1 LO1	0-5 marks Basic identification of an ethical framework.	6-10 marks Acceptable identification of an ethical framework that the organisation uses.	11-15 marks Excellent identification of an ethical framework that the organisation uses.	Marks
	Basic identification of how this is implemented in the areas of social, legal and workforce enforcement.	Acceptable discussion on how this is applied by the organisation internationally.	Excellent discussion on how this is applied by the organisation Internationally.	
	Basic discussion on how this is applied by the organisation internationally.	Acceptable identification of how this is implemented in the areas of social, legal and workforce enforcement.	Excellent identification of how this is implemented in the areas of social, legal and workforce enforcement.	
	Limited or vague description of any standards employed by the organisation.	Acceptable explanation of any standards employed by the organisation.	Excellent explanation of any standards employed by the organisation.	
	Limited or vague description of their organisation's code of ethics.	Acceptable description of their organisation's code of ethics, including both the aims and constraints sections.	For the maximum marks there should be in-depth details regarding their organisation's code of ethics, including both the aims and constraints sections.	
2 LO2	Limited or vague understanding that Project Management methodologies exist within a Systems Development Lifecycle, and that they have sections	Acceptable understanding that Project Management methodologies exist within a Systems Development Lifecycle, and that they have sections	Shows excellent understanding that Project Management methodologies exist within a Systems Development Lifecycle and shows a thorough	15

Task	Guide			Maximum
	0-5 marks	6-10 marks	11-15 marks	Marks
	for planning, management and control.	for planning, management and control.	understanding that they have sections for planning, management and control.	
	Limited or vague application of the selected methodology (e.g Prince2) to the organisation's project.	Acceptable application of the selected methodology (e.g Prince2) to the organisation's project.	Excellent application of the selected methodology (e.g Prince2) to the organisation's project.	
	Limited or vague attempt to identify that the Initiation Phase is the most important phase.	Acceptable identification that the Initiation Phase is the most important, as well as evidence of understanding of all the other phases.	Excellent identification that the Initiation Phase is the most important phase. Also an excellent discussion of all the phases is evident.	
	Limited or vague discussion of any strategies for the development and maintenance of projects.	Acceptable discussion of any strategies for the development and maintenance of projects.	Excellent discussion of any strategies for the development and maintenance of projects, with evidence as why these were employed.	
				15

Task	Guide			Maximum
	0-5 marks	6-10 marks	11-15 marks	Marks
3 LO3 LO4	Limited or vague identification of the approach to software deployment the organisation has taken.	Acceptable identification of what approach to software deployment the organisation has taken and why it has chosen to deploy its software in this way.	Excellent identification as to what approach to software deployment the organisation has taken and why it has chosen to deploy its software in this way.	
	Limited or vague discussion on how the organisation has approached any of the problems commonly associated with software deployment, for example type of release, documentation and training, when deploying their software.	Acceptable discussion on how the organisation has approached any of the problems commonly associated with software deployment, for example type of release, documentation and training. An attempt at analysis of Risk is evident.	Excellent discussion on how the organisation has approached any of the problems commonly associated with software deployment, for example type of release, documentation and training. Costings of deployment, the Quality Plan and Risk are analysed.	
				15

Task	Guide			Maximum
	0-5 marks	6-10 marks	11-15 marks	Marks
4 LO4	Limited or vague explanation of a chosen project.	Acceptable explanation of a chosen project.	Excellent explanation of a chosen project.	
	Limited attempt to apply appropriate tools and techniques to create a Risk Plan for the chosen project in the organisation.	Acceptable attempt to apply appropriate tools and techniques to create a Risk Plan for the chosen project in the organisation.	Excellent attempt to apply appropriate tools and techniques to create a Risk Plan for the chosen project in the organisation.	
	Limited or vague explanation and analysis of Risk Management tools and techniques that have been chosen to produce the Risk Plan.	Acceptable explanation and analysis of Risk Management tools and techniques that have been chosen to produce the Risk Plan.	Excellent explanation and analysis of Risk Management tools and techniques that have been chosen to produce the Risk Plan.	
				15
5 LO5	Limited or vague identification of a client of the organisation that has received ITSM services.	Acceptable identification of a client of the organisation that has received ITSM services.	Excellent identification of a client of the organisation that has received ITSM services.	
	Limited or vague evaluation is given of the ITSM service that the organisation has given to this client.	Acceptable evaluation is given of the ITSM service that the organisation has given to this client.	Excellent critical evaluation is given of the ITSM service that the organisation has given to this client.	
	Limited or vague evaluation of appropriate management techniques that	Acceptable evaluation of appropriate management techniques that	Excellent critical evaluation and a full description of appropriate management	
	were/could have been applied to a problem situation for this client in order to	were/could have been applied to a problem situation for this client in order to	techniques that were/could have been applied to a problem situation for this client in order to	
	achieve any objectives.	achieve any objectives.	uns chent in order to	

Task	Guide			Maximum
	0-5 marks	6-10 marks	11-15 marks	Marks
			achieve any	
			objectives.	
	l imitad av vanua	Acceptable	Exactlent	
	Limited or vague identification of the	Acceptable Identification of the	Excellent identification of the	
	ITSM focus is given,	ITSM focus is made	ITSM focus is made	
	including	clear, including	very clear, including	
	international	international	international	
	standards and	standards and	standards and	
	frameworks	frameworks	frameworks	
	employed.	employed.	employed.	15
6	Limited or vague	Acceptable	Excellent description	
LO6	identification of what	identification of what	of what software	
	software quality	software quality	quality means.	
	means.	means.		
	Limited or vegue	Accontable	Evallant description	
	Limited or vague description of the	Acceptable description of the	Excellent description of the software	
	software quality	software quality	quality policies and	
	policies and	policies and	procedures that exist	
	procedures that exist	procedures that exist	in the organisation.	
	in the organisation.	in the organisation.	m are organication	
	m are organication.	m and organication	Excellent explanation	
	Limited or vague	Acceptable	of the measurement	
	explanation of the	explanation of the	of the 'quality	
	measurement of	measurement of	factors', with	
	'quality factors'.	'quality factors'.	examples.	
	Limited or vague	Acceptable	Excellent explanation	
	explanation of how	explanation of how	of how software	
	software quality is	software quality is	quality is measured	
	measured using	measured using	using software	
	software quality	software quality	quality management	
	management metrics.	management metrics,	metrics, with	
		with examples.	examples.	
	Limited or vague	Acceptable	Excellent discussion	
	discussion of the	discussion of the	of the advantages/	
	advantages/	advantages/	disadvantages of the	
	disadvantages of the	disadvantages of the	method of measuring	
	method of measuring	method of measuring	software quality that	
	software quality that	software quality that	the organisation	
	the organisation	the organisation	employs, with	
	employs.	employs, with	examples.	15
		examples.		.0

Task	0-3 marks	4-6 marks	7-10 marks	Maximum Marks
7 LO1	Provides a brief description of the learning that occurred and a somewhat superficial analysis of its importance. Produces a simple action plan that gives limited or vague detail on the activities that need to take place in order to improve learning or practice.	Provides a description of the learning that occurred supported by some analysis which would benefit from more substance. Produces a sensible action-orientated action plan that provides some detail on activities that need to take place in order to improve learning or practice.	Provides an in-depth description of the learning that occurred and a developed analysis of its importance. Produces a comprehensive, action-orientated action plan that details clear activities that need to take place in order to improve learning or practice.	
				10

Learning Outcomes matrix

Task	Learning Outcomes assessed	Marker can differentiate between varying levels of achievement
1	1	Yes
2	2	Yes
3	3, 4	Yes
4	4	Yes
5	5	Yes
6	6	Yes
7	all	Yes

Grade descriptors

Learning Outcome	Pass	Merit	Distinction
Understand the social, ethical and	Demonstrate adequate level of	Demonstrate robust level of	Demonstrate highly comprehensive level
professional issues essential to the IT profession	understanding	understanding	of understanding
Understand a project management life cycle and associated techniques	Demonstrate adequate level of understanding	Demonstrate robust level of understanding	Demonstrate highly comprehensive level of understanding
Understand how to deploy a software	Demonstrate adequate	Demonstrate sound and appropriate	Demonstrate highly effective deployment
application	deployment of an application	deployment of an application	of an application
Understand risks and the management of	Demonstrate adequate level of	Demonstrate robust level of	Demonstrate highly comprehensive level
them in software projects	understanding	understanding	of understanding
Understand the principles and	Demonstrate adequate level of	Demonstrate robust level of	Demonstrate highly comprehensive level
techniques of IT	understanding	understanding	of understanding
service management			
Be able to design	Demonstrate	Demonstrate ability	Demonstrate ability
software quality	ability to perform	to perform the task	to perform the task
policies and procedures	the task	consistently well	to the highest standard
procedures			Januaru